

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.



SPACE SHUTTLE PROGRAM
Orbiter Project Office
NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, Texas



Presenter	
Date	Page

Estimating the Probability of Electrical Short Circuits from Tin Whiskers – Part I

**Presented to the
Tin Whisker Group Telecon
January 28, 2009**

**Presenter
Dr. Karim Courey, NASA-Johnson Space Center**

**Co-Authors
Dr. Shihab Asfour, University of Miami
Jon Bayliss, NASA-Kennedy Space Center
Larry Ludwig, NASA-Kennedy Space Center
Clara Wright, NASA-Kennedy Space Center**



Outline

Presenter Karim Courey	
Date January 28, 2009	Page 2

- Notice
- Publication
- Tin Whisker Phenomenon
- Risk Models
- Contact Resistance
- Objective
- The First Experiment
- The Second Experiment
- Limitations
- Conclusion
- Future Work
- Acknowledgments
- References



SPACE SHUTTLE PROGRAM
Orbiter Project Office
NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, Texas



Notice

Presenter		Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page	3

This document was prepared under the sponsorship of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Neither the United States government nor any person acting on behalf of the United States government assumes any liability resulting from the use of the information contained in this document, or warrants that such use will be free from privately owned rights.



Publication

Presenter Karim Courey	
Date January 28, 2009	Page 4

- This presentation summarizes the research presented in the article titled:

Tin Whisker Electrical Short Circuit Characteristics—Part I, Courey, K. J.; Asfour, S. S.; Bayliss, J. A.; Ludwig, L. L.; Zapata, M. C.; Electronics Packaging Manufacturing, IEEE Transactions on, Volume 31, Issue 1, Jan. 2008 Page(s): 32 - 40

Copies are available through the IEEE Xplore® link below:



http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/freeabs_all.jsp?tp=&arnumber=4427308&isnumber=4427303





Tin Whiskers on Components
(Source: Leidecker & Brusse, 2006)

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 5

Optical Microscopy



Scanning Electron Microscopy





Current Assumption in Risk Models

Presenter Karim Courey	
Date January 28, 2009	Page 6

- In the published simulations it is assumed that physical contact between a whisker and an exposed contact results in an electrical short
- This conservative assumption was made because the probability of an electrical short circuit from free tin whiskers had not yet been determined



Contact Resistance
(Source: R. Holm & Holm, 1967)

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 7

- Contact resistance is the sum of the constriction resistance and the film resistance
 - When two surfaces touch, only a small portion of the area actually makes contact due to unevenness in the surfaces
 - Current flow is constricted through the smaller area resulting in a constriction resistance
 - Film resistance is due to the build up of tarnish films (oxides, etc.) on the contact surfaces that act in a nearly insulating manner



SPACE SHUTTLE PROGRAM
Orbiter Project Office
NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, Texas



Objective

Presenter Karim Courey	
Date January 28, 2009	Page 8

- To develop an empirical model to quantify the probability of occurrence of an electrical short circuit from tin whiskers bridging adjacent contacts as a function of voltage



First Experiment - Methods

Presenter Karim Courey	
Date January 28, 2009	Page 9

- To determine when a tin whisker's contact resistance breaks down the voltage level at the transition to metallic conduction current must be recorded
- To determine the breakdown voltage of a tin whisker a micromanipulator probe was brought into contact with the side of the tin whisker growing from a tin-plated beryllium copper card guide



SPACE SHUTTLE PROGRAM

Orbiter Project Office

NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, Texas




First Experiment - Micromanipulator probe touching tin whisker growing from the card guide.

Presenter Karim Courey

Date
January 28, 2009

Page 10





First Experiment – Methods Cont.

Presenter Karim Courey	
Date January 28, 2009	Page 11

- Data Acquisition (DAQ) software was written using LabVIEW® to automate both the incrementing of power supply voltage changes as well as the gathering and recording of the voltage and current data for each of the tin whiskers
- Once contact was established, as determined with an optical microscope, the power supply voltage was increased from 0 to 45 volts direct current (vdc) in 0.1 vdc increments
- Validation of the automated test station was performed by substituting a calibrated resistor decade box for the micromanipulator, whisker and card guide



First Experiment - Test Station Schematic


Presenter **Karim Courey**

Date
January 28, 2009

Page **12**

Automated Tin Whisker Test Fixture

PXI Instrumentation Running a Labview Program





SPACE SHUTTLE PROGRAM
Orbiter Project Office
NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, Texas




First Experiment - Tin Whisker Test Station

Presenter **Karim Courey**

Date
January 28, 2009

Page **13**





First Experiment – Results

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 14


- The breakdown voltage for each whisker was determined from the graphs of recorded current and voltage data
- There were three different transition categories: Single, Multiple, and Multiple with intermittent contact



First Experiment – Results (Continued)

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 15

Tin Whisker Number 32 - Single Transition






First Experiment – Results (Continued)

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 16

Tin Whisker Number 32 - Single Transition

Whisker Voltage






First Experiment - Results (Continued)

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 17

Tin Whisker Number 4 - Multiple Transition Points

Whisker Current






First Experiment - Results (Continued)

Presenter **Karim Courey**

Date
January 28, 2009

Page **18**

Tin Whisker Number 4 - Multiple Transition Points






First Experiment - Results (Continued)

Presenter **Karim Courey**

Date
January 28, 2009

Page **19**

Tin Whisker Number 2 - Multiple Transition Points with Intermittent Contact





First Experiment - Results (Continued)


Presenter **Karim Courey**

Date
January 28, 2009

Page **20**

Tin Whisker Number 2 - Multiple Transition Points with Intermittent Contact

Whisker Voltage





First Experiment - Results (Continued)

Presenter Karim Courey	
Date January 28, 2009	Page 21

- Although the software had originally been written to stop recording data after the film resistance broke down as determined by the change in whisker current, it was decided to run 35 whiskers to the full range of the test, 0 – 45 vdc, to observe their behavior
- An interesting benefit of running the test from 0 - 45 vdc for all of the whiskers was the opportunity to witness the difference in transitions
 - Single Transitions in 20 of 35 whiskers (~57%)
 - Multiple Transitions in 9 of 35 whiskers (~26%)
 - Multiple Transitions with intermittent contact in 6 of 35 whiskers (~17%)



First Experiment - Results (Continued)

Presenter		Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page	22

- Current Carrying Capacity
 - 33 of the 35 tin whiskers (~94%) tested conducted up to 4.5 mA
 - With a 10 K Ω current-limiting resistor in place, the test station was limited to a maximum of 4.5 mA at 45 Vdc
 - 2 of the 35 tin whiskers (~6%) only conducted up to 3.06 mA and 2.00 mA before metallic conduction ceased



First Experiment - Data Analysis

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 23

- Probability-Probability (P-P) plots were used to determine how well a specific model fits the observed data
- The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to further analyze the best fit
- The EasyFit® distribution fitting software tested over 40 different distributions before selecting the 3-Parameter Inverse Gaussian as the best fit



**First Experiment - Three Parameter Inverse
Gaussian Distribution**

Presenter **Karim Courey**

Date
January 28, 2009

Page **24**

- The values for the Three Parameter Inverse Gaussian Distribution are $\lambda = 31.977$, $\mu = 17.571$, $\gamma = -1.9716$. The Probability Density Function for the Three Parameter Inverse Gaussian Distribution is shown in the following equation:

$$f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi(x-\gamma)^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda(x-\gamma-\mu)^2}{2\mu^2(x-\gamma)}\right)$$

- The Cumulative Distribution Function for the Three Parameter Inverse Gaussian Distribution is shown in the following equation, where $\Phi ()$ is the normal cumulative distribution function:

$$F(x) = \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{x-\gamma}}\left(\frac{x-\gamma}{\mu}-1\right)\right) + \Phi\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{x-\gamma}}\left(\frac{x-\gamma}{\mu}+1\right)\right) \exp(2\lambda/\mu)$$





First Experiment - PDF and CDF

Presenter **Karim Courey**

Date
January 28, 2009

Page **25**

Probability Density Function and Cumulative Distribution Function for the Three Parameter Inverse Gaussian Distribution



X = applied voltage



First Experiment - Film Resistance and the Oxide Layer

Presenter Karim Courey	
Date January 28, 2009	Page 26

- One of the factors that contributes to film resistance is the oxide layer that forms on the tin whisker
- To study the oxide layer, it was necessary to section a few tin whiskers



First Experiment - Whisker Materials Analysis

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 27

- Whisker thickness: 2 to 5 μm
 - Analysis of whisker structure required high-resolution microscopy
- Conventional techniques for cross sectional microstructural and oxide thickness evaluation not adequate






Image of penny at same magnification as whiskers #1 and #2



First Experiment - Scanning Electron Microscopy

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 28

- A scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used for higher-magnification imaging and elemental analysis






**First Experiment - Focused Ion Beam (FIB)
Analysis**

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 29

- The gallium ion beam was used to mill away sufficient whisker material to obtain a cross section normal to the whisker's growth direction
- The FIB cross section facilitated the examination of the crystallographic orientations



FIB image of two as-sectioned tin whiskers that exhibited the expected single-crystal cross section. Image was taken 52° from horizontal (NASA/UCF)




First Experiment- Focused Ion Beam (FIB) Analysis

Presenter **Karim Courey**

Date
January 28, 2009

Page **30**

- One of the three tin whiskers studied here was found with what appeared to be grains with varying crystallographic orientations
 - While polycrystalline tin whiskers have been seen before, in the majority of literature tin whiskers were described as single crystals



FIB image of as-sectioned Tin whisker shows apparent variation in grain orientation within the cross-section. Image was taken at a 52° angle from horizontal (NASA/UCF)



**First Experiment- Focused Ion Beam
(FIB) Analysis**

Presenter **Karim Courey**

Date
January 28, 2009

Page **31**

- We were not able to identify the oxide layer as originally planned with the techniques and equipment that were used
- However, we were able to find what appeared to be a rare polycrystalline tin whisker



Second Experiment

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 32

- The following improvements were added to the second experiment
 - A larger sample size of 200 whiskers
 - Experimental process improvements
 - Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) was used to determine if the tin whisker examined in the first experiment was truly polycrystalline
 - FIB cross-section of the card guides was used to verify whether the tin finish was bright tin or matte tin.
- The second experiment has been completed and the results will be presented in our next KEA presentation



Limitations

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 33

- Limitations of the first experiment included:
 - The number of conducting surfaces
 - The difference and variation between force applied by gravity and the force applied by the micromanipulator probe
 - Sample size (35 Tin Whiskers)



Conclusion

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 34

- In the first experiment, an empirical model to quantify the probability of occurrence of an electrical short circuit from tin whiskers as a function of voltage was developed
- This model can be used to improve existing risk simulation models
- FIB images of a tin whisker show an apparent polycrystalline structure on one of the three whiskers studied



Future Work

Presenter Karim Courey	
Date January 28, 2009	Page 35

- Effect of the following variables on tin whisker shorting:
 - Applied Pressure
 - Acceleration
 - Whisker Shape
 - Oxidation Layer Thickness
- Free Whisker Test
- Metal Vapor Arcing
- Fusing Current



Acknowledgments

Presenter Karim Courey	
Date January 28, 2009	Page 36

- Steve M. Poulos, Steve Stich, Armando Oliu, and Jon N. Cowart of the NASA JSC Orbiter Project Office
- Mike Spates, Larry Batterson and Steven J. McDanel of the NASA KSC Materials Science Division
- Dr. Henning Leidecker of NASA and Jay Brusse of Perot Systems at Goddard Space Flight Center
- Zia Rahman, with the Materials Characterization Facility, AMPAC, University of Central Florida (UCF)
- Dr. Arzu Onar of St. Jude Children's Research Hospital
- Shaun Nerolich of United Space Alliance
- Mike Madden of United Space Alliance



References

Presenter	Karim Courey	
Date	January 28, 2009	Page 37

- H. Leidecker and J. Brusse. (2006, April). Tin whiskers: A history of documented electrical system failures - A briefing prepared for the Space Shuttle Program Office
<http://nepp.nasa.gov/whisker/>
- R. Holm and E. Holm, *Electric Contacts Theory and Application.* , 4th ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1967